UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,580	07/11/2003	Thomas L. Foster	10922/51	3677
	7590 11/10/200 ER GILSON & LIONE		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			NGUYEN, TUAN VAN	
CHICAGO, IL	00010		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/617,580	FOSTER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		TUAN V. NGUYEN	3731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address -	-			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	ICATION.  reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communica NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	R Santambar 2008					
2a)□	-	This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allo		tters, prosecution as to the merits	s is			
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice und	·	·	, 10			
Dienositi	on of Claims	<u>-</u> pane Quayre, 1000 e.	, e. e. e. e. e.				
· · ·		-/					
•	Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-11,13-17,20-23 and 25-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9,23 and 27-29</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
′=	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	icated					
·	Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-7,12-22 and 24-26</u> is/are re	jectea.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ad/or alaction requirement					
اــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	na/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152				
Priority ເ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force.  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume.  2. Certified copies of the priority docume.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Business the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
2)  Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 9/3/08.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 				

10/617,580 Art Unit: 3731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after the final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 3, 2008 has been entered.

## Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's remarks filed on September 3, 2008 with respect to (A) Statement of Prior Invention under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 that the inventor of record declare that the invention was invented prior to November 15, 2001 and (B) 35 U.S.C § 103(c) prevents the Foster reference from being included in an obviousness rejection have been fully considered and persuasive, therefore, the previous rejection is hereby withdrawn.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action

10/617,580 Art Unit: 3731

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 5-7, 12-22, and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sachdeva et al (U.S. 5,885,258) in view of Jones et al (U.S. 5,843,050).
- 6. As to claims 1, 7, 13-14 and 18-21, Sachdeva discloses (see Figs. 1A-1D) a retrieval device made from shape-memory alloy comprising: a cannula 11 comprising a proximal portion; a distal portion; a grasper portion, which includes plurality of slots 12, located at the distal portion of the cannula 11; and a sheath 13 for controlling the grasper portion (col. 3, lines 32-50). Sachedeva further discloses a delivery tube 63 or an introducer sheath 63, a tool or grasper 62 located at the distal end of cannula 61, wherein the grasper and the cannula is a one piece unitary component (col. 4, lines 40-50). Sachedeva discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the distal portion of the cannula comprises a

10/617,580 Art Unit: 3731

spiral cut along a longitudinal axis of the cannula. However, Jones discloses (see Fig. 3) a mircrocatheter 10 having tubular element 30 wherein a distal portion of tubular 30 includes at least two sections with spiral cut wherein spiral cut of each section having different pitch to provide a high degree of flexibility to facilitate negotiation of small, tortuous vessels (see Abstract and col. 5, lines 1-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the spiral cut, as disclosed by Jones, into the distal portion of the cannula of Sachedva so that it too would have the same advantage.

- 7. As to claims 2, 5, 6, 15-17, 22, and 25-26, the device of Sachdeva as modified by Jones discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for specifically disclosing the dimensions that claimed by the applicant. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to design the spiral cut is taken about 60 to about 80 degrees form the longitudinal axis of the cannula and the width of the spiral cut is about 0.001 to about 0.002 inches wide, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. Extrinsic evidence, Pinchuk (US 4,960,410) discloses spiral cut is taken about 25 to about 85 degrees from the longitudinal axis of the cannula (col. 3, lines 58-60).
- 8. As to claims **10**, **11 and 20**, Sachedeva discloses the device can be used in urethra (col. 4, line 33) and it further includes an optical fiber 106, 111 (Figs. 10A &

10C, col. 5, lines 60-65 and col. 6, lines 10-14), an irrigation system (col. 1, lines 53-55), and a laser system (claim 11).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUAN V. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-5962. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Todd Manahan can be reached on 571-272-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/T. V. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 3731

/Todd E Manahan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731